

08/26/99

Jc644 U.S. PRO

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐PTO/SB/05 (4/98)
Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**UTILITY
PATENT APPLICATION
TRANSMITTAL**

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No.

First Inventor or Application Identifier

SCHLOEMER, Jerry R.

Title Cellular Radio Routing System

Express Mail Label No.

EH527325066 US

APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

1. ☒ * Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17)
(Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing)
2. ☒ Specification [Total Pages 23]
(preferred arrangement set forth below)
- Descriptive title of the invention
- Cross References to Related Applications
- Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D
- Reference to Microfiche Appendix
- Background of the invention
- Brief Summary of the invention
- Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)
- Detailed Description
- Claim(s)
- Abstract of the Disclosure
3. ☒ Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets 3]
4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages 1]
a. ☒ Newly executed (original or copy)
b. ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d))
(for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed)
i. ☐ **DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)**
Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).

* NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13: IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY SMALL ENTITY FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED (37 C.F.R. § 1.27), EXCEPT IF ONE FILED IN A PRIOR APPLICATION IS RELIED UPON (37 C.F.R. § 1.28).

ADDRESS TO:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Patent Application
Washington, DC 20231

5. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)
6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary)
a. ☐ Computer Readable Copy
b. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

7. ☐ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
8. ☐ 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) Statement of Power of Attorney (when there is an assignee)
9. ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)
10. ☒ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 ☒ Copies of IDS Citations
11. ☐ Preliminary Amendment
12. ☐ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503) (Should be specifically itemized)
13. ☒ * Small Entity Statement(s) ☐ Statement filed in prior application, Status still proper and desired (PTO/SB/09-12)
14. ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) (if foreign priority is claimed)
15. ☐ Other:

16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment:

☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP)

of prior application No. _____

Prior application information: Examiner _____

Group / Art Unit: _____

For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label

(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)

or ☒ Correspondence address below

Name	Leo J. Aubel				
Address	111 Rivershire Lane				
City	Lincolnshire	State	Illinois	Zip Code	60069
Country	USA	Telephone	847-634-8923	Fax	847-634-8985

Name (Print/Type)	Leo J. Aubel	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	18,382
Signature	<i>Leo J. Aubel</i>	Date	8/25/99

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

659280 226855

**VERIFIED STATEMENT CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS
(37 CFR 1.9(f) & 1.27(b))—INDEPENDENT INVENTOR**

Docket Number (Optional)

Applicant or Patentee: Jerry R. Schloemer

Application or Patent No. _____

Filed or Issued _____

Title Cellular Radio Routing System

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that I qualify as an independent inventor as defined in 37 CFR 1.9(c) for purposes of paying reduced fees to the Patent and Trademark Office described in:

- ☒ the specification filed herewith with title as listed above
☐ the application identified above
☐ the patent identified above.

I have not assigned, granted, conveyed, or licensed, and am under no obligation under contract or law to assign, grant, convey, or license, any rights in the invention to any person who would not qualify as an independent inventor under 37 CFR 1.9(c) if that person had made the invention, or to any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9(e)

Each person, concern, or organization to which I have assigned, granted, conveyed, or licensed or am under an obligation under contract or law to assign, grant, convey, or license any rights in the invention is listed below:

- ☒ No such person, concern, or organization exists
☐ Each such person, concern, or organization is listed below

Separate verified statements are required from each named person, concern, or organization having rights to the invention averring to their status as small entities (37 CFR 1.27)

I acknowledge the duty to file in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate (37 CFR 1.28(b))

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made of information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed

Jerry R. Schloemer

NAME OF INVENTOR

NAME OF INVENTOR

NAME OF INVENTOR

Signature of inventor

Signature of inventor

Signature of inventor

8/25/99

Date

Date

Date

5

10

15

20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100

CELLULAR RADIO ROUTING SYSTEM

Background of the Invention

The present application claims the priority date of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial No.60/100074 filed on 09/14/98 in the name of Jerry R. Schloemer.

This application discloses improvements to U.S. Patent No. 5,793,842 issued to Jerry R. Schloemer and Leo J. Aubel. Patent No. 5,793,842 is incorporated herein by specific reference thereto. While the entire patent '842 is incorporated herein by reference, Figures 1-11 and the associated description are particularly pertinent to this invention.

In US Patent 5,793,842 a method of connecting a call to a desired one of several drops (destinations) was disclosed. An originating mobile unit contacts an originating base site to set up a call. The originating base site then routes the call towards the desired destination.

Included in this patent were the steps of combining route segments (links) between nodes (base sites) to make a longer route that would connect an initializing base thru one or more nodes to a desired drop or exchange dependent upon the final call destination. Then, when a call is originated, the routing tables present in the nodes would route the call to the desired destination thru one or more nodes. Signal to interference tests were used to assign interference free channels in both the mobile to base and base to base communications. Because the routing literature, refers to nodes, and the cellular radio literature refers to base sites, and the functionality of the two technologies is combined, the terms "base" and "node" will be used to refer to the same functional piece of equipment.

Summary of the Invention

The invention herein describes a system and method of wireless call routing between various cell sites such that the optimum route back to one of several exchanges is chosen. Novel systems and methods of node design, antennae selection, and switching to selected drops are described.

The foregoing features and advantages of the present invention will be apparent from the following more particular description of the invention. The accompanying drawings, listed herein below, are useful in explaining the invention.

Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 shows the current technology where the base sites are connected to a common central switch. Although land lines are typically used, in some systems radio links are used;

Fig. 2 shows a base site being served by two different switches;

Fig. 3. Shows that adjacent base sites typically have good line of sight between them;

Fig. 4 Shows two base sites, and the corresponding patterns of directional antennas;

Fig. 5. Shows the concept of a blind node routing communications in the same band back to a central switch; and

Fig.6 Shows the geographical area and system in an overview concept.

Description of the Invention

In a large metropolitan area such as the Chicago, IL area, the inventive features described herein below can be combined in a single system to enhance the total system operation. For example, if a non resident long distance carrier owned the radio cellular system described, the combinations might be beneficial. In those few sites that are located near the long distance carrier's long distance switch, the base sites would be equipped with the relay closures as described below to minimize the number of calls that were routed thru the local telephone company. In sites located in the close in towns where considerable traffic was anticipated, the radio routing features as described in Patent No. 5,793,842 would be utilized in conjunction with the method of utilizing and assigning directional antennas to improve spectrum efficiency. In sites located in the far towns where radio congestion is not a problem, spectrum could be allocated to the routing function, and blind nodes would be utilized.

An example of a user located in Deerfield, IL trying to contact a land line user located near Round Lake, IL is shown in Figure 6. Only some of the cell sites are shown in the

Figure 6.

In land line telephony the present trend is to replace conventional relay closures and dedicated lines by packets of data that are routed along common lines. In the application of the invention described herein below, the inventive concepts can be utilized to support packet data.

Refer to Figure 1 which shows the prior art or current technology. In this prior art each radio is a duplex pair with a transmitter and receiver. Each radio has a circuit back to the cellular switch (or drop). The dotted lines show the control channels. In many installations of the cellular and routing system described herein, wire lines are already present that wire (connect) the base sites to various exchanges and various drops. Because of the limited radio spectrum available, it is desirable to use wire lines whenever they go to the proper destination, as the radio spectrum is too valuable to waste.

Refer to Figure 2 which shows the inventive method wherein at base site B each radio pair is wired to a relay at base site B that can be used to route the call to either the drop 14 that is used for long distance calls or the drop 15 that is used for local calls. Other base sites of the system would be similarly connected. Note, of course, that sites in low usage areas would still be connected in the prior art manner.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, routes are created automatically as disclosed in referenced patent US No. 5,793,842. In the referenced patent the system includes multiple nodes and links between the nodes that are combined into routes that route calls to a desired drop. In another embodiment of the system explained herein, the base site uses tables are manually loaded into the base site computer that will control the routing destination. This

alternate embodiment does not utilize multiple links thru multiple nodes, but just routes calls from a base site to a desired drop based on the desired exchange. In one utilization of this concept, wire lines are used from the base site back to the various drops. A combination of both wire (land) lines and radio can also use these concepts to build a operational system.

5 Many cellular systems are built to a so-called the AMPS standard. The AMPS standard comprises a series of precise protocols such that different manufacturers products will work together in a common manner. In AMPS systems, various base sites are given a limited number of radios that transmit and receive only on specific paired frequencies. Whereas a total cellular system might have hundreds of paired radio channels, a given base site might have only 30 different radios. Each of these 30 different radios transmits and receives on a specific frequency pair that is carefully chosen to avoid co-channel interference with adjacent cell sites; each of these 30 radios was hard wired back to a switch which utilizes a circuit that supported a duplex conversation. The switch contains the logic to connect the radios into the land line telephone system, record information for billing purposes, and also control handoff. For explanation purposes consider a simple system with only two drops. These drops correspond to the switches in a conventional AMPS cellular system. Consequently, there is a need for 30 duplex circuits leaving the base site going to the first drop and another 30 circuits going to the second drop.

20 A method of connecting any of these 30 different radios to any of the 60 different output circuits would be to install a switching matrix of size 30 by 60 which might involve up to 1800 relays, or else an expensive computerized switching machine to handle up to 30 simultaneous duplex audio transfers. A computer/switching system at each base site that

stores routing tables, that controls the routing decisions, and also controls the actual connections back to the various drops could be used.

Refer to Figure 2 which shows the inventive method of implementation the routing concepts in above referenced patent no. 5,793,842 by utilizing a simpler method of call connection. The concept is based on the premise of avoiding complexity at the base site, and letting the routing computer at the base site make only routing decisions, and pass all the remaining complexity back to the various drops. The routing computer consequently only need to make a routing decision and the appropriate connection.

In the inventive system there is a signaling channel and when a call is being set up, the various messages are sent over the air thru the signaling channel, and then this signaling channel is routed directly back to the cellular switch. The present invention routes the signaling channel thru the base site computer. The base site computer does not alter the contents of the signaling messages, but only records the call destination for the remote user. The base site computer brings the signaling message into its memory. The base computer then compares the call destination (area code and exchange) with a pre-stored table in the computer to determine the drop that should handle the call. Then the computer retransmits the signaling message to the desired drop. In the simple case of a two drop system, there is a single relay that can switch the output of the computer to the signaling circuit of either drop.

Each of the 30 circuits from the radios in the base site goes to a single relay (30 relays in total) that will send the call along to the desired drop. In Figure 2 the box indicated as R depicts 30 different relays each controlling a duplex circuit to either the local call cellular

switch or the long distance cellular switch. It should be understood that the number 30 not limiting, but only chosen as an example. Each relay will have a choice of only two circuits. For example, radio number 16 can only be connected to line 16 back to drop A or radio number 16 can only be connected to line 16 back to drop B. Consequently, the required cross bar is replaced with a simple computer that controls 30 audio channel relays and 1 switching channel relay. The audio channels do not go thru the computer which greatly simplifies the design of the computer. The base site computer only has to perform the straightforward function of decoding the signaling messages to retrieve the desired telephone exchange, and utilizing that information to close the appropriate relays.

In some instances the drops choose the next available radio in sequence to handle the call. However, most systems have a method of monitoring before assignment, and when it is desired to install a system without modification of the drop logic, one could easily indicate by various means that the channel was noisy, if it were being used by the alternate drop, etc.. For a further explanation of the inventive concept, refer first to Figure 1 that depicts a portion of a prior art cellular radio system. There are four base sites shown in Figure 1. Base site A has only three radios and three duplex circuits back to the switch. Base site A also has a control channel shown as a dotted (dashed line) back to the switch. Base site B has only two radios, and the two duplex circuits back to the base site are shown. The control channel is shown as a dotted (dashed line).

Refer to Figure 2 which depicts the embodiment of the system for base site B only. In this showing there are two potential drops in the system and local telephone calls are being routed to the first drop. Long distance calls are being routed to the second drop. This

embodiment is useful for a long distance company that owns a local cellular system and permits the cellular operator to limit the number of companies involved in the call completion process.

Figure 2 shows that only thirty relays are required at base site B to make the system operational, instead of the prior art 30 by 30 matrix switching circuit. One is able to implement the system with only thirty relays that close the two voice circuits and the control channel circuit as appropriate.

The foregoing leaves the matrix switching apparatus in the drop, and permits a selection of drops by simple connect and disconnect switching hardware at the node.

The present invention includes another important improvement to the concepts disclosed in US patent 5,793,842. The improvement relates to the method of choosing antennas for base to base communications. Base to base radio paths in a cellular system typically have good propagation characteristics. It is known that the insertion of obstructions in the path of a radio signal cause significant shadows that greatly reduce the received signal strength. In many instances it is very difficult to transmit radio signals thru tall buildings and around the curvature of the earth. Refer to Figure 3 that shows that base sites must have significant height with respect to the curvature of the earth in order to permit a hand off zone for the mobiles operating in the cellular system. If two base sites are positioned such that they have good overlapping coverage to supply a good radio signal to a mobile, it then usually follows that those two base sites have good line of sight between each other.

It is also known in cellular radio that using sectoring antennas at base sites to direct signals to and from mobiles accomplishes two important things. The first is that the desired

signal level is increased which offers better communication. The second is that spectrum efficiency is improved in that antennas only radiate in one direction, and consequently, radio waves are spread in only one direction. The method described herein below uses actual signal strength measurements to assign antenna patterns.

In the method of radio routing described herein, advantages of better signal quality and also better spectrum utilization occur when directional antennas are added to the base sites to help the radio routing function between base sites. In this embodiment, six different antennas, each of sixty degrees are mounted to improve the radio routing function. Each antenna has specific radios attached directly to the antenna. The initial routing tables explained in referenced U.S. Patent 5,793,842, are modified to take into account these different antennas. For example, table II in Col 8 which is reproduced below is modified as will be explained.

Table II

Time Slot No.	User of Slot
1	L001 (Located in Round Lake)
2	L002 (Schaumburg)
3	L003 (Evanston)
4	L004 (Glenview)
5	L005 (Lisle)
6	L006 (Libertyville)
:	:
27	L027 (Antioch)
:	:
1001	1001 (Peoria node)
:	:
1133	1133 (Lincolnshire node)
1188	1188 (Libertyville node)

The Lincolnshire site, #1133, depicted above becomes six different sites in the routing process as follows:

- Lincolnshire #1133-A First Antenna
- Lincolnshire #1133-B Second Antenna

Lincolnshire #1133-C Third Antenna
Lincolnshire #1133-D Fourth Antenna
Lincolnshire #1133-E Fifth Antenna
Lincolnshire #1133-F Sixth Antenna

The Libertyville site, #1188, becomes six different sites in the routing process, as follows:

Libertyville #1188-A First Antenna
Libertyville #1188-B Second Antenna
Libertyville #1188-C Third Antenna
Libertyville #1188-D Fourth Antenna
Libertyville #1188-E Fifth Antenna
Libertyville #1188-F Sixth Antenna

Table II has base site numbers and corresponding time slots, the table is now expanded to include 6 different time slots for the Lincolnshire site, and 6 different time slots for the Libertyville site. (If the Grays Lake site had only two 180 degree antennas, that it would have only two time slots for its use)

Consequently, when the Libertyville site transmits on the first antenna, it is transmitting in its first time slot. When the Libertyville site transmits of the second antenna, it is transmitting in its second time slot. When the Lincolnshire site listens to the various time slots, it listens on all of its directional antennas. (Actual physical locations and compass directions are not utilized.) Consequently, there are a total of 36 different possible links between Libertyville and Lincolnshire that could be utilized if Lincolnshire desired to contact Libertyville to route a call. These 36 different possibilities are added to the table at the Lincolnshire site, as the Lincolnshire site listens to Libertyville. In fact, a northern pointing Libertyville site, might not even be heard by the southern pointing Lincolnshire site. In fact, a western pointing Lincolnshire site might not hear an eastern pointing Libertyville site.

Consequently, in real world operation, the Lincolnshire site might only hear 14 of the possible 36 combinations, and might only record these 14 into its initial routing table. Refer to Figure 4, which depicts 60 degree antennas and their corresponding position. For example, Lincolnshire site 1133-C might not hear Libertyville site 1188-F, and this would never be entered into the table. Lincolnshire site 1133-E might hear Libertyville Site 1188-B with the strong signal strength and that would be added to the table. In fact, that particular combination would probably end up the strongest path, and that would be the final entry in the table. The creation of the table is dynamic and totally automatic. Instantaneous signal strengths are utilized. However, the Lincolnshire site, immediately after listening to Libertyville, eliminates the weaker combinations. There is no reason to keep the weaker signal paths between the two base sites. Although this process initially includes numerous possible propagation links, these are immediately reduced in the tables, and the tables remain the same length. The only final addition to the tables at each base site is the antenna that it should use for transmission, and the antenna that each site should use for receiving, in the case that these two sites need to create a communication path.

Following is a more specific representation of a portion of the transmissions made by the Libertyville node as previously shown in and Table XII of US Patent 5,793,842 as shown below.

Table X11

1188	Land node number
546	Prefix
3	Links
32	Signal Strength

The first line in the following table represents the transmission from the first sectoring antenna, the second line represents the transmission from the second sectoring antenna. The third, fourth, fifth, and sixth line are also respectively from the third, fourth, fifth, and sixth sectoring antenna.

5

New TABLE XXX

Node	Prefix	Links	Signal Strength
1188-A	546	3	42
1188-B	546	3	42
1188-C	546	3	42
1188-D	546	3	42
1188-E	546	3	42
1188-F	546	3	42

10

15

Referring to Table XII, the weakest signal strength in the route to 546 was 32 Db over threshold. However, because of increased antenna gain in both the transmitting and receiving antennas along the various links to Round Lake exchange 546, the minimum signal strength is now 42 Db.

20

Now, the Lincolnshire node receives each of the above six transmission at six different antennas. The following table is for the receiving antenna at node 1133-A. However, because the receiving antenna 1133-A points in a north northeasterly direction that is not directed towards the Libertyville node, only one signal is heard. This signal bounced off building and came from 1188-B. It is a very weak signal

25

NEW TABLE XXXI

Node	Prefix	Links	Signal Strength	New Sig. Str.
1188-B	546	3	42	12

Following are the signals received at node 1133-B which points in an easterly direction.

Because 1133-B pointed in an easterly direction, it did not receive any signal good signals, but did receive a very weak signal from both 1188-B and 1188-C.

NEW TABLE XXXII

Node	Prefix	Links	Signal Strength	New Sig. Str.
1188-B	546	3	42	8
1188-C	546	3	42	5

The results at 1133-C, 1133-D not shown here, were also primarily weak signals. However, we now show the received signals at 1133-E which is an antenna that is pointing somewhat in the direction of the Libertyville node.

TABLE XXXIII

Node	Prefix	Links	Signal Strength	New Sig. Str.
1188-A	546	3	42	5
1188-B	546	3	42	52
1188-C	546	3	42	50
1188-D	546	3	42	18
1188-E	546	3	42	2

The results from the receiving antenna 1133-F were as follows.

TABLE XXXIV

Node	Prefix	Links	Signal Strength	New Sig. Str.
1188-A	546	3	42	5
1188-B	546	3	42	40
1188-C	546	3	42	38
1188-D	546	3	42	9

Before any other calculations are made, the node at Lincolnshire, node 1133 immediately goes thru the above tables for each receiving antenna, and leaves only the choice with the best received signal strength from all of the tables. Antenna 1133-E received the strongest transmission from site 1188-B as noted in the above tables at 52 Db over threshold.

Consequently, only this transmission is carried forward as follows:

TABLE XXIV

Node	Prefix	Links	Signal Strength	New Sig. Str.	Antenna
1188-B		546	3	42	52
					1133-E

Additional calculations are performed as explained previously to finish the routing calculations. It was the intent above only to show how directional antennas are added into the system. Later, when Lincolnshire node number 1133 desires to contact Libertyville node number 1188 to place an actual call, Lincolnshire will know which antenna to use for transmission, and it will know which antenna to contact at the Libertyville site.

The aforementioned process of utilizing 60 degree antennas will enhance spectrum utilization by about a factor of six. Only small sectors are illuminated when creating usable links for a specific conversation. In the dynamic channel assignment process that occurs when setting up an actual call, the reduced interference received from remote conversations will enhance the number of usable channels. The desired signal strength is enhanced because of the directional antennas, and the undesired signal is decreased because the base sites are perhaps using antennas that do not point towards the base site in question.

A further optional improvement can be added for even greater spectrum utilization.

Steerable arrays are well known. Such arrays create narrow beams by utilizing a series of small antennas to which the phases of the signals are adjusted. When Lincolnshire and Libertyville are in communication, this additional known technology will focus both the transmitting and receiving beams less than 60 degrees to further enhance spectrum efficiency and further enhance signal to noise performance.

US Patent 5,793,842 discloses a system where multiple bands are utilized to

perform the different types of communication. For example, base to mobile communications occur in the traditional mobile to base bands, and node to node communications can occur in the new Multipoint bands. In large metropolitan areas where it is desired to maximize the amount of mobile to base communication, such a band allocation is very desirable. However, a novel re-arrangement of the existing base (node) to mobile channels and existing mobile to base (node) channels provides an improvement which is more practical in sparsely populated areas and in third world countries.

The invention described herein can be utilized in various ways, and the following three applications disclose alternate methods of band allocation.

Highly congested cellular systems such as systems in Chicago, Miami, etc. etc. Low density applications of cellular radio as found in Idaho, Nebraska, etc. etc. Third world countries where cellular is used instead of land line phone systems.

In highly congested cellular systems such as one finds in Chicago, Miami, and other high populated places, spectrum for node to mobile and mobile to node will be highly utilized, and the band utilization methods suggested in this embodiment will have limited application. However, for new systems in Chicago and Miami, the methods suggested in this embodiment would have value until usage grew to a congested amount. For systems designed for the third world, the spectrum load will not be significant, and the methods of this embodiment will be useful. For systems designed

for low density applications, the spectrum load will not be significant, and the methods of this embodiment will be useful.

One of the technical problems that is solved in cellular radio is the problem of permitting the mobile unit to both talk and listen simultaneously. This same problem is encountered at the base site. Part of the solution to this problem lies in the method of band assignment to cellular radio. Cellular radio systems are divided into two different bands, and these bands are not next to each other. It is this band separation that permits the delicate receiver to operate in the presence of a booming, in comparison, transmitter. If the transmitter and receiver were close to each other in frequency, the problems of duplex operation would increase, and the cost would also increase.

To utilize the existing cellular bands to perform the repeater function is an attractive alternative, but one that must be approached under the limitation that at any one location, the separation of the two cellular bands must be maintained.

The method to utilize the existing bands of cellular frequencies, is to make blind nodes. The new method is consistent with the odd and even nodes disclosed in US Patent 5,793,842. Alternate nodes in a route must transmit and receive on alternate frequencies. For example, if a node transmits on channel 17, the next node in the

10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100
105
110
115
120
125
130
135
140
145
150
155
160
165
170
175
180
185
190
195
200

route must listen on channel 17. This requirement led to the even and odd nodes as described in said patent. In the new method, this node differentiation is maintained, but a novel feature is added as is explained herein below. In this method, half of the node sites would be inoperable with respect to direct mobile to node operation. This would correspond to the odd nodes being blind to node to mobile operation. The odd nodes in effect become inoperable for base to mobile communications. These odd nodes would be operable for routing or node to node communication. These nodes would be "blind" to the operation of the remotes and mobiles. However, since these nodes would not serve the existing remotes, they would utilize the bands of frequencies in the identical method used for the remotes. In this method, the signaling channel that is already present to set up the node to mobile operation is used with different codes to also set up the routes and the channel assignment from normal nodes thru blind nodes to create the routes. In this particular utilization of the cellular bands, Table I of '842 which had a total of 10 different bands is reduced to the two bands as explained below. In the table below the signaling channel is one of the channels in the appropriate band.

Band\Location	Mobile	Normal Node	Blind Node	Drop
Mobile Transmit Band	Transmits	Receives	Transmits	Transmits
Mobile Receive Band	Receive	Transmits	Receive	Receive

In the above greatly simplified table, the normal base (node) operation and the normal mobile operation occur quite as in a conventional cellular system. However, the normal base (node) can also talk directly to a drop that is configured exactly like a mobile. However, the normal node would utilize multiple channels, and the drop would also utilize normal channels. In this method, the talking and receiving problems that are created in a single band are avoided.

The signaling channel will be highly utilized, but relief is provided by accomplishing the route creation process only at night when the system is not highly utilized. In smaller systems, the amount of routing messages is not significant, and the system performs satisfactorily.

This band allocation option permits the normal node to transmit to any one of a number of drops that would be in range. However, the normal node could also utilize blind nodes to repeat the calls to another normal node that would create a route. The above table of frequency allocations permits a simple installation where there are no blind nodes and the normal nodes can transmit to any number of drops that might be within radio range. This simple installation could be later expanded by

the addition of blind nodes that would only serve in a repeater function.

The advantage of easy of manufacturing, limited bands and the elimination of potential licensing problems, and simplified system design are obvious for this approach.

5 Refer to Fig. 5. In this figure, Mobile A is in a conversation that is routed to the indicated exchange. Also, Mobile B is in a different conversation that is also routed to the same exchange.

Mobile A initially transmits on channel A which is in the Mobile to Base Band

Message is re- transmitted on channel B which is in the Base to Mobile Band

Message is re-transmitted on Channel C which is in the Mobile to Base Band

Message is re-transmitted on Channel D which is in the Base to Mobile Band

Exchange initially transmits on channel E which is in the Mobile to Base Band

Message is re-transmitted on Channel F which is in the Base to Mobile Band

Message is re-transmitted on Channel G which is in the Mobile to Base Band

Message is re-transmitted on Channel H which in the Base to Mobile Band

Simultaneously, Mobile B is transmitting on Channel I in Mobile to Base

Band

Mobile B is receiving on channel J which is in the Base to Mobile Band.

(The connection of Mobile B to the Exchange is not shown)

20 In Figure 5, Mobile B is shown in normal communication with Base C in vicinity of the Blind Node. The required band separation to achieve full duplex operation is maintained at the Blind Node, at Base C, and at Mobile B. Both the routing and

base to mobile communications are satisfactorily carried out in the same set of bands.

While the invention has been particularly shown and described with reference to preferred embodiments thereof, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in forms and details made be made therein without departing from the spirt and scope of the invention.

Claims

1. In a multi-node, multi-channel, multi-remote radio telephone communications system including cellular radio systems wherein the mobiles transmit on channels in a first band and the bases transmit on channels in a second band, a routing system of call connection and call routing comprising the following;

- a) routes that are selectable from more than one possible route to a desired destination
- b) routing tables to permit different destinations for different calls selectively based on telephone number indications
- c) multiple blind nodes that do not support direct base to mobile communication
- d) said multiple blind nodes transmitting in the band used for transmission by the mobiles,
- and
- e) said multiple blind nodes receiving in the band used for receiving by the mobiles,
- whereby the entire system performs in a limited number of bands of frequencies.

2. In a multi-node, multi-channel, multi-remote radio telephone communications system including cellular radio systems wherein the mobiles transmit on channels in a first band and the bases transmit on channels in a second band, a routing system of call connection and call routing comprising the following;

- a) routing tables to permit different destinations for different calls selectively based on telephone number indications
- b) multiple blind nodes that does not support direct base to mobile communication
- c) said multiple blind nodes transmitting in the band used for transmission by the mobiles,
- and

d) said multiple blind nodes receiving in the band used for receiving by the mobiles,
whereby the entire system performs in a limited number of bands of frequencies.

3. In a system as in claim 2 wherein cross bar switching apparatus is in the drop, and
selecting drops is accomplished by simple switching at the base sites.

5 4. In a system as in claim 2 further including directional antennas that are used to beam node
to node communications thereby maximizing received signal strength.

5. In a system as in claim 2 further including the usage of dynamic signal to interference tests
as an aid to assigning channels.

6. In a system as in claim 2 wherein routes thru nodes alternate between blind nodes and
bases.

7. In a system as in claim 2 further including the selection of node to node antenna patterns
dependent upon actual received signal strength.

8. In a system as in claim 2 wherein the routing tables are located at the nodes.

9. In a multi-node, multi-channel, multi-remote radio telephone communications system
including cellular radio systems wherein the mobiles transmit on channels in a first band and the
bases transmit on channels in a second band, a routing system of call connection and call routing
between nodes comprising,

a) routing tables to permit different destinations for different calls selectively based on
telephone number indications; and

20 b) providing antennas for communications between nodes, and selecting antenna patterns
based on actual signal strength measurements.

Abstract

A routing system of call connection and call routing for radio telephone communications system including cellular radio systems wherein the mobiles transmit on channels in a first band. and the bases transmit on channels in a second band. The routes are selectable from more than one possible route to a desired destination using routing tables to permit different destinations for different calls selectively based on telephone number indications. The system includes multiple blind nodes that do not support direct base to mobile communication. The blind nodes transmitting in the band used for transmission by the mobiles, and nodes receive in the band used for receiving by the mobiles such that the system performs in a limited number of bands of frequencies.

Prior Art

Figure 1





Figure 2






Figure 3



Libertyville Site 1188



Lincolnshire Site 1133





Figure 5

669280" 2228660



The "E" stands for a land phone exchange of which three are shown: Northbrook, Waukegan, and Round Lake.

A user located in Deerfield desires to phone Round Lake.

Only some of the cell sites are shown.

FIG. 6

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/01 (12-97)

Approved for use through 9/30/00 OMB 0651-0032

Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

DECLARATION FOR UTILITY OR DESIGN PATENT APPLICATION (37 CFR 1.63)	Attorney Docket Number	Sch-rout
	First Named Inventor	SCHLOEMER, Jerry R.
	COMPLETE IF KNOWN	
	Application Number	/
	Filing Date	
	Group Art Unit	
<input type="checkbox"/> Declaration Submitted with Initial Filing	OR	<input type="checkbox"/> Declaration Submitted after Initial Filing (surcharge (37 CFR 1.16 (e)) required)
Examiner Name		

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

Cellular Radio Routing System

the specification of which

(Title of the Invention)

☐ is attached hereto

OR

☐ was filed on (MM/DD/YYYY)

as United States Application Number or PCT International

Application Number

and was amended on (MM/DD/YYYY)

(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or of any PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

Prior Foreign Application Number(s)	Country	Foreign Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Priority Not Claimed	Certified Copy Attached?	
				YES	NO
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Additional foreign application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02B attached hereto:

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

Application Number(s)	Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional provisional application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02B attached hereto.
Ser. No. 60/100074	09/14/98	

[Page 1 of 2]

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.4 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/01 (12-97)
Approved for use through 9/30/00. OMB 0651-0032

Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

DECLARATION — Utility or Design Patent Application

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 of any United States application(s), or 365(c) of any PCT international application designating the United States of America, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. Parent Application or PCT Parent Number	Parent Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Parent Patent Number (if applicable)

☐ Additional U.S. or PCT international application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02B attached hereto.

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following registered practitioner(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

☐ Customer Number →
OR
☒ Registered practitioner(s) name/registration number listed below

Place Customer Number Bar Code Label here

Name	Registration Number	Name	Registration Number
Leo J. Aubel	18,382		


☐ Additional registered practitioner(s) named on supplemental Registered Practitioner Information sheet PTO/SB/02C attached hereto.

Direct all correspondence to: ☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label OR ☒ Correspondence address below

Name	Leo J. Aubel				
Address	111 Rivershire Lane				
Address					
City	Lincolnshire	State	IL	ZIP	60069
Country	USA	Telephone	847-634-8923	Fax	847-634-8985

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Name of Sole or First Inventor: ☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor

Given Name (first and middle if any)		Family Name or Surname					
Jerry R		Schloemer					
Inventor's Signature				Date	8/25/99		
Residence: City	Round Lake	State	IL	Country	USA	Citizenship	USA
Post Office Address	P.O. Box 307						
Post Office Address	401 Lake Ave. Edwards Sub-division						
City	Round Lake	State	IL	ZIP	60073	Country	USA

☐ Additional inventors are being named on the supplemental Additional Inventor(s) sheet(s) PTO/SB/02A attached hereto